

# Rudin–Blass Ordering of Measures

Adam Morawski

Joint work with P.Borodulin-Nadzieja,  
A. Martinez-Celis, and J. Świerczyńska

# Measures and Filters

*Measure*  $\equiv$  finitely additive probability measure. Unless otherwise specified, also vanishing on points.

# Measures and Filters

*Measure*  $\equiv$  finitely additive probability measure. Unless otherwise specified, also vanishing on points.

## Examples

Given an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  let

$$\delta_{\mathcal{U}}(A) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } A \in \mathcal{U} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

# Measures and Filters

*Measure*  $\equiv$  finitely additive probability measure. Unless otherwise specified, also vanishing on points.

## Examples

Given an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  let

$$\delta_{\mathcal{U}}(A) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } A \in \mathcal{U} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$d_{\mathcal{U}}(A) = \mathcal{U}\text{-}\lim_n \frac{|A \cap n|}{n}.$$

# Rudin-Blass ordering

Given  $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega$  let

$$f[\mathcal{U}] = \{A : f^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{U}\}$$

# Rudin-Blass ordering

Given  $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega$  let

$$f[\mathcal{U}] = \{A : f^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{U}\}$$

## Rudin-Blass ordering

We write  $\mathcal{U} \leqslant_{RB} \mathcal{V}$  whenever  $\mathcal{U} = f[\mathcal{V}]$  for some finite-to-one function  $f$

# Rudin-Blass ordering

Given  $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega$  let

$$f[\mathcal{U}] = \{A : f^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{U}\}$$

$$f[\mu](A) = \mu(f^{-1}(A)).$$

## Rudin-Blass ordering

We write  $\mathcal{U} \leqslant_{RB} \mathcal{V}$  whenever  $\mathcal{U} = f[\mathcal{V}]$  for some finite-to-one function  $f$

# Rudin–Blass ordering

Given  $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega$  let

$$f[\mathcal{U}] = \{A : f^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{U}\}$$

$$f[\mu](A) = \mu(f^{-1}(A)).$$

## Rudin–Blass ordering

We write  $\mathcal{U} \leqslant_{RB} \mathcal{V}$  whenever  $\mathcal{U} = f[\mathcal{V}]$  for some finite-to-one function  $f$

and  $\mu \leqslant_{RB} \nu$  whenever  $\mu = f[\nu]$  for a finite-to-one  $f$ .

# Rudin–Blass ordering

Given  $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega$  let

$$f[\mathcal{U}] = \{A : f^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{U}\}$$

$$f[\mu](A) = \mu(f^{-1}(A)).$$

## Rudin–Blass ordering

We write  $\mathcal{U} \leqslant_{RB} \mathcal{V}$  whenever  $\mathcal{U} = f[\mathcal{V}]$  for some finite-to-one function  $f$

and  $\mu \leqslant_{RB} \nu$  whenever  $\mu = f[\nu]$  for a finite-to-one  $f$ .

For Rudin–Keisler, drop the finite-to-one requirement.

## Selectors

Given  $\langle P_n \rangle$  – a partition of  $\omega$ , a *selector* is a set  $S \subseteq \omega$  such that for each  $n$

$$|P_n \cap S| \leq 1.$$

# Q-measures

## Selectors

Given  $\langle P_n \rangle$  – a partition of  $\omega$ , a *selector* is a set  $S \subseteq \omega$  such that for each  $n$

$$|P_n \cap S| \leq 1.$$

## Q-points

$\mathcal{U}$  is a Q-point

if for any partition of  $\omega$  into finite sets

there is a selector  $S$  with

$$S \in \mathcal{U}$$

# Q-measures

## Selectors

Given  $\langle P_n \rangle$  – a partition of  $\omega$ , a *selector* is a set  $S \subseteq \omega$  such that for each  $n$

$$|P_n \cap S| \leq 1.$$

## Q-points and Q-measures

$\mathcal{U}$  is a Q-point    $\mu$  is a  $Q^+$ -measure  
if for any partition of  $\omega$  into finite sets  
there is a selector  $S$  with

$$S \in \mathcal{U} \qquad \mu(S) > 0$$

# Q-measures

## Selectors

Given  $\langle P_n \rangle$  – a partition of  $\omega$ , a *selector* is a set  $S \subseteq \omega$  such that for each  $n$

$$|P_n \cap S| \leq 1.$$

## Q-points and Q-measures

$\mathcal{U}$  is a Q-point    $\mu$  is a  $Q^+$ -measure    $\mu$  is a Q-measure  
if for any partition of  $\omega$  into finite sets  
there is a selector  $S$  with

$$S \in \mathcal{U} \quad \mu(S) > 0 \quad \mu(S) = 1.$$

## Fact

Q-points are exactly the Rudin–Blass minimal ultrafilters.

# Q vs RB

## Fact

Q-points are exactly the Rudin–Blass minimal ultrafilters.

## Fact

Q-measures are Rudin–Blass minimal.

# Q vs RB

## Fact

Q-points are exactly the Rudin–Blass minimal ultrafilters.

## Fact

Q-measures are Rudin–Blass minimal.

## Theorem

Consistently, there exists a Rudin–Blass minimal measure, which is not  $Q^+$ .

# On existence

## Fact

Under *Filter Dichotomy* there is an ultrafilter RB-below any measure and there are no Q-points.

# On existence

## Fact

Under *Filter Dichotomy* there is an ultrafilter RB-below any measure and there are no Q-points. So there are no  $Q^+$ -measures and no RB-minimal measures.

# On existence

## Fact

Under *Filter Dichotomy* there is an ultrafilter RB-below any measure and there are no Q-points. So there are no  $Q^+$ -measures and no RB-minimal measures.

## Fact

There exists a Q-point whenever there exists a Q-measure.

# On existence

## Fact

Under *Filter Dichotomy* there is an ultrafilter RB-below any measure and there are no Q-points. So there are no Q<sup>+</sup>-measures and no RB-minimal measures.

## Fact

There exists a Q-point whenever there exists a Q-measure.

$d_U$  is never Q<sup>+</sup>.

# Atomless Q-measures

Theorem(Avilés, Martínez-Cervantes, Poveda, Sáenz)

Under  $\mathfrak{d} = \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$ , every atomless measure defined on a Boolean algebra of size  $< \mathfrak{d}$  can be extended to an atomless Q-measure.

# Atomless Q-measures

Theorem(Avilés, Martínez-Cervantes, Poveda, Sáenz)

Under  $\mathfrak{d} = \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$ , every atomless measure defined on a Boolean algebra of size  $< \mathfrak{d}$  can be extended to an atomless Q-measure.

Proposition

If there exists an atomless Q-measure, then there are  $2^c$  different Q-points.

# Atomless Q-measures

Theorem(Avilés, Martínez-Cervantes, Poveda, Sáenz)

Under  $\mathfrak{d} = \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$ , every atomless measure defined on a Boolean algebra of size  $< \mathfrak{d}$  can be extended to an atomless Q-measure.

Proposition

If there exists an atomless Q-measure, then there are  $2^c$  different Q-points.

Theorem

If there are infinitely many pairwise RK-incompatible selective ultrafilters, then there is an atomless Q-measure.

# Selective measures and Rudin–Keisler ordering

## Selective measures

A measure  $\mu$  is selective if for any partition  $\langle P_n \rangle$  of  $\omega$  there is a selector  $S$  with

$$\mu(S) = 1 - \sum_n \mu(P_n).$$

# Selective measures and Rudin–Keisler ordering

## Selective measures

A measure  $\mu$  is selective if for any partition  $\langle P_n \rangle$  of  $\omega$  there is a selector  $S$  with

$$\mu(S) = 1 - \sum_n \mu(P_n).$$

## Fact

Measure is selective if and only if it is a P-measure and a Q-measure.

# Selective measures and Rudin–Keisler ordering

## Selective measures

A measure  $\mu$  is selective if for any partition  $\langle P_n \rangle$  of  $\omega$  there is a selector  $S$  with

$$\mu(S) = 1 - \sum_n \mu(P_n).$$

## Fact

Measure is selective if and only if it is a P-measure and a Q-measure.

## Proposition

Selective measures are Rudin–Keisler minimal.

We are working with sequences of measures with finite support (there is a finite set of full measure, so *not vanishing on points*). Focus on limit behavior.

Consider  $\delta$  given by  $\delta_n(A) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \in A, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

# Construction Framework (Mokobodzki)

We are working with sequences of measures with finite support (there is a finite set of full measure, so *not vanishing on points*). Focus on limit behavior.

Consider  $\delta$  given by  $\delta_n(A) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \in A, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

$\preceq$  relation

$a \preceq b$  if we have  $a_n \in \text{conv}\{b_m : m \geq n\}$  for all  $n$ .

# Construction Framework (Mokobodzki)

We are working with sequences of measures with finite support (there is a finite set of full measure, so *not vanishing on points*). Focus on limit behavior.

Consider  $\delta$  given by  $\delta_n(A) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \in A, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

$\preceq$  relation

$a \preceq^* b$  if we have  $a_n \in \text{conv}\{b_m : m \geq n\}$  for all but finitely many  $n$ .

# Construction Framework (Mokobodzki)

$a \preceq {}^*b$  if  $a_n \in \text{conv}\{b_m : m \geq n\}$  for almost all  $n$ .

## Observations

Let  $\lambda_a(X) = \lim_n a_n(X)$  whenever possible.

# Construction Framework (Mokobodzki)

$a \preceq^* b$  if  $a_n \in \text{conv}\{b_m : m \geq n\}$  for almost all  $n$ .

## Observations

Let  $\lambda_a(X) = \lim_n a_n(X)$  whenever possible.  
If  $a \preceq^* b$  and  $\lambda_b(X)$  exists, then  $\lambda_a(X) = \lambda_b(X)$ .

# Construction Framework (Mokobodzki)

$a \preceq^* b$  if  $a_n \in \text{conv}\{b_m : m \geq n\}$  for almost all  $n$ .

## Observations

Let  $\lambda_a(X) = \lim_n a_n(X)$  whenever possible.

If  $a \preceq^* b$  and  $\lambda_b(X)$  exists, then  $\lambda_a(X) = \lambda_b(X)$ .

Given  $b$  and  $X$  there is  $a \preceq b$  such that  $\lambda_a(X)$  exists.

# Construction Framework (Mokobodzki)

$a \preceq^* b$  if  $a_n \in \text{conv}\{b_m : m \geq n\}$  for almost all  $n$ .

## Observations

Let  $\lambda_a(X) = \lim_n a_n(X)$  whenever possible.

If  $a \preceq^* b$  and  $\lambda_b(X)$  exists, then  $\lambda_a(X) = \lambda_b(X)$ .

Given  $b$  and  $X$  there is  $a \preceq b$  such that  $\lambda_a(X)$  exists.

Every countable  $\preceq^*$ -desc. sequence has a lower bound.

# Construction Framework (Mokobodzki)

$a \preceq^* b$  if  $a_n \in \text{conv}\{b_m : m \geq n\}$  for almost all  $n$ .

## Observations

Let  $\lambda_a(X) = \lim_n a_n(X)$  whenever possible.

If  $a \preceq^* b$  and  $\lambda_b(X)$  exists, then  $\lambda_a(X) = \lambda_b(X)$ .

Given  $b$  and  $X$  there is  $a \preceq b$  such that  $\lambda_a(X)$  exists.

Every countable  $\preceq^*$ -desc. sequence has a lower bound.

## Proposition

For any  $a \preceq \delta$  and  $f$  finite-to-one there are  $b \preceq a$  and  $g$  fin-to-one such, that

$$\forall_X \forall_n \quad |b_n(X) - b_n((gf)^{-1}[X])| < 2^{-n}$$

# Construction Scheme

- ▶ Assume CH
- ▶ Enumerate  $[\omega]^\omega$  as  $\langle A_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  and finite to one functions as  $\langle f_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ .
- ▶ Choose  $a^0$  wisely.

# Construction Scheme

- ▶ Assume CH
- ▶ Enumerate  $[\omega]^\omega$  as  $\langle A_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  and finite to one functions as  $\langle f_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ .
- ▶ Choose  $a^0$  wisely.
- ▶ Choose  $a^{\alpha+1} \preceq a^\alpha$  ensuring
  - ▶ measurability of  $A_\alpha$ ,

# Construction Scheme

- ▶ Assume CH
- ▶ Enumerate  $[\omega]^\omega$  as  $\langle A_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  and finite to one functions as  $\langle f_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ .
- ▶ Choose  $a^0$  wisely.
- ▶ Choose  $a^{\alpha+1} \preceq a^\alpha$  ensuring
  - ▶ measurability of  $A_\alpha$ ,
  - ▶ invariance under  $g_\alpha f_\alpha$ -preimages for some  $g_\alpha$ .

# Construction Scheme

- ▶ Assume CH
- ▶ Enumerate  $[\omega]^\omega$  as  $\langle A_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  and finite to one functions as  $\langle f_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ .
- ▶ Choose  $a^0$  wisely.
- ▶ Choose  $a^{\alpha+1} \preceq a^\alpha$  ensuring
  - ▶ measurability of  $A_\alpha$ ,
  - ▶ invariance under  $g_\alpha f_\alpha$ -preimages for some  $g_\alpha$ .
- ▶ On limit steps diagonalize getting  $a^\beta \preceq^* a^\alpha$  ( $\forall \alpha < \beta$ ).

# Construction Scheme

- ▶ Assume CH
- ▶ Enumerate  $[\omega]^\omega$  as  $\langle A_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  and finite to one functions as  $\langle f_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ .
- ▶ Choose  $a^0$  wisely.
- ▶ Choose  $a^{\alpha+1} \preceq a^\alpha$  ensuring
  - ▶ measurability of  $A_\alpha$ ,
  - ▶ invariance under  $g_\alpha f_\alpha$ -preimages for some  $g_\alpha$ .
- ▶ On limit steps diagonalize getting  $a^\beta \preceq^* a^\alpha$  ( $\forall \alpha < \beta$ ).

Let  $\lambda(X) = \lambda_{a^\alpha}(X) = \lim_n a_n^\alpha(X)$  for any  $\alpha$  where the limit exists.

# Improvements

Restrict ourselves to  $\mathbb{Q}$ -convex combinations. Consider

$$(\{s : s \preceq \delta\}, \preceq^*).$$

This ordering is  $\text{<}\mathfrak{p}$ -closed.

# Improvements

Restrict ourselves to  $\mathbb{Q}$ -convex combinations. Consider

$$(\{s : s \preceq \delta\}, \preceq^*).$$

This ordering is  $\text{<}\mathfrak{p}$ -closed.

## Theorem

Under  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{c}$  there is a Rudin–Blass minimal measure, which is not  $\mathbb{Q}^+$ .

# Improvements

Restrict ourselves to  $\mathbb{Q}$ -convex combinations. Consider

$$(\{s : s \preceq \delta\}, \preceq^*).$$

This ordering is  $\text{<}\mathfrak{p}$ -closed.

## Theorem

Under  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{c}$  there is a Rudin–Blass minimal measure, which is not  $\mathbb{Q}^+$ . It can be made a  $\mathbb{P}$ -measure, and so Rudin–Keisler minimal.

# Concluding Remarks

## Theorem

If  $\mu$  is Rudin–Blass minimal, then  $\mu = \mu_0 + \sum_n \nu_n$  where  $\mu_0$  is a Q-measure and each  $\nu_n$  is not  $Q^+$ .

# Concluding Remarks

## Theorem

If  $\mu$  is Rudin–Blass minimal, then  $\mu = \mu_0 + \sum_n \nu_n$  where  $\mu_0$  is a Q-measure and each  $\nu_n$  is not  $Q^+$ .

## Questions

Can all/none RB-minimal measures be Q?

Are all Rudin–Keisler minimal measures P-measures?

Does existence of  $Q^+$  measures imply the existence of Q-measures?