

# Changing the Length of the Borel Hierarchy

Nick Chapman

February 2026

## Definition

Let  $X$  be a topological space. Then the Borel hierarchy on  $X$  is stratified into classes  $\Sigma_\alpha^0(X)$  and  $\Pi_\alpha^0(X)$ , where  $\Sigma_1^0(X)$  is the class of open sets,

$$\Sigma_\alpha^0(X) = \left\{ \bigcup_{i < \omega} A_i \mid \langle A_i \mid i < \omega \rangle \subseteq \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \Pi_\beta^0(X) \right\}$$

and  $\Pi_\alpha^0(X) = \{X \setminus A \mid A \in \Sigma_\alpha^0(X)\}$ .

## Definition

The order (or length) of the Borel hierarchy on  $X$  is defined as

$$\text{ord}(X) = \min \{ \alpha : \Sigma_{\alpha}^0(X) = \Pi_{\alpha}^0(X) = \mathbf{Bor}(X) \} .$$

# Observations

Fix  $X \subseteq {}^\omega\omega$ .

- $1 \leq \text{ord}(X) \leq \omega_1$ .
- If  $X$  is countable, then  $\text{ord}(X) \leq 2$ . Furthermore,  $\text{ord}(X) = 1$  if and only if  $X$  is discrete, which is an absolute property.
- $\text{ord}({}^\omega 2) = \omega_1$ .
- If  $X \hookrightarrow Y$ , then  $\text{ord}(X) \leq \text{ord}(Y)$ . In particular, every space with a perfect subset has order  $\omega_1$ .
- If  $X$  is a Luzin set, then  $\text{ord}(X) = 3$ . (Poprougenko 1930)

## Guiding Question

What can  $\text{ord}(X)$  be?

## Definition

Let a well-founded tree  $T \subseteq {}^{<\omega}\omega$  and a function  $f : \text{leaf}(T) \rightarrow {}^{<\omega}\omega$  be given. For any node  $\eta \in T$ , define the interpretation of  $\eta$  as

$$\mathcal{I}_T^f(\eta) = \begin{cases} [f(\eta)] \cap X & \text{if } \eta \in \text{leaf}(T) \\ \bigcap_{\nu \in \text{succ}(\eta)} X \setminus \mathcal{I}_T^f(\nu) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $\text{rk}_T(\eta) = \alpha$ , then  $\mathcal{I}_T^f(\eta) \in \mathbf{\Pi}_\alpha^0(X)$ . Furthermore,  $B \in \mathbf{\Pi}_\alpha^0(X)$  if and only if  $B = \mathcal{I}_T^f(\eta)$  for some tree  $T$  with  $\text{rk}(T) = \alpha$  and  $f : \text{leaf}(T) \rightarrow {}^{<\omega}\omega$ . The pair  $\langle T, f \rangle$  is a **Borel code** for  $B$ . Note that for every  $\alpha < \omega_1$  there exists a *universal* such tree  $T = T_\alpha$  as above.

Suppose  $X \subseteq {}^\omega\omega$ ,  $A, B \subseteq X$  are disjoint and  $1 < \alpha < \omega_1$ .

The partial order  $\mathbb{BM}_\alpha(A, B, X)$  of  $\alpha$ -forcing (Miller 1979) consists of pairs  $p = \langle f_p, R_p \rangle$ , where

- $f_p$  is a finite partial function assigning basic clopen sets to leaves of a (canonically chosen) tree  $T_\alpha$  of rank  $\alpha$ ;
- $R_p$  is a finite relation between inner nodes of the tree and elements of the space  $X$ ;
- the function  $f_p$  and  $R_p$  *cohere* to generically define a  $\mathbf{\Pi}^0_\alpha(X)$  code for a subset of  $X$ ;
- the parameters  $A, B$  restrict the form of that subset.

# Properties of $\alpha$ -forcing

A  $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{M}_\alpha(A, B, X)$ -generic  $G$  induces a function

$$f_G : \text{leaf}(T_\alpha) \rightarrow {}^{<\omega}\omega,$$

hence for every  $\eta \in T_\alpha$  a  $G_\eta \in \prod_{\text{rk}_{T_\alpha}(\eta)}^0(X)$ . A pair  $\langle \eta, x \rangle \in R_p$  means the promise

$$p \Vdash x \in G_\eta.$$

# Properties of $\alpha$ -forcing

## Lemma

*For  $\eta \in \text{nonleaf}(T_\alpha)$  and  $x \in X$  we have*

$$(\exists p \in G : \langle \eta, x \rangle \in R_p) \Leftrightarrow x \in G_\eta.$$



## Lemma

*If  $G$  is  $\mathbb{BM}_\alpha(A, B, X)$ -generic, then  $A \subseteq G_\emptyset \subseteq X \setminus B$ .*

## Corollary

$\mathbb{BM}_\alpha(A, X \setminus A, X) \Vdash A \in \mathbf{\Pi}_\alpha^0(X)$ .

Two forcings of interest:  $\mathbb{BM}_\alpha(\emptyset, \emptyset, X)$  and  $\mathbb{BM}_\alpha(A, X \setminus A, X)$ .

## Theorem (Miller 1979)

*Let  $X \subseteq {}^\omega\omega$  be uncountable and  $1 < \alpha < \omega_1$  a successor ordinal. Then there is a c.c.c. forcing extension with  $V[G] \models \text{ord}(X) = \alpha$ .*

## Proof Strategy.

Finite support iteration of  $\alpha$ -forcing, where we iterate sufficiently long to catch all Borel subsets of  $X$  using a bookkeeping argument as parameters for a  $\mathbb{BM}_\alpha(A, X \setminus A, X)$ -generic.  $\square$

After this forcing, we have  $V[G] \models \text{ord}(X) \leq \alpha$ .

## Theorem (Miller 1979)

*Let  $X \subseteq {}^\omega\omega$  be uncountable and  $1 < \alpha < \omega_1$  a successor ordinal. Then there is a c.c.c. forcing extension with  $V[G] \models \text{ord}(X) = \alpha$ .*

## Proof Strategy.

Finite support iteration of  $\alpha$ -forcing, where we iterate sufficiently long to catch all Borel subsets of  $X$  using a bookkeeping argument as parameters for a  $\mathbb{BM}_\alpha(A, X \setminus A, X)$ -generic.  $\square$

## Question

How can we ensure  $V[G] \models \text{ord}(X) \geq \alpha$ ?

## Question

How can we ensure  $V[G] \models \text{ord}(X) \geq \alpha$ ?

## Question

How can we ensure  $V[G] \models \text{ord}(X) \geq \alpha$ ?

First add a  $\mathbb{BM}_{\alpha-1}(\emptyset, \emptyset, X)$ -generic.

Use ranked forcing techniques to ensure

$$V[G] \models G_{\emptyset}(0) \notin \Sigma_{\alpha-1}^0(X)!$$

## Definition (Miller)

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a forcing notion. We say  $\text{rk} : \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \text{Ord} \cup \{\infty\}$  is a *rank function* on  $\mathbb{P}$  if the following holds:

For each  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and ordinal  $\beta$  there exists a  $q \in \mathbb{P}$  with  $q \parallel p$  and  $\text{rk}(q) \leq \beta$  such that for each  $r \in \mathbb{P}$ ,  $\text{rk}(r) < \beta$  we have

$$r \parallel q \implies r \parallel p.$$

The forcing  $\mathbb{BM}_\alpha(A, B, X)$  admits a **sufficiently rich family of rank functions**.

# Preserving the generic $\Pi$ -set

The following theorem is formulated in [Ch] to encompass several arguments of Miller.

## Theorem

*Suppose  $1 < \alpha < \omega_1$ ,  $X \subseteq {}^\omega 2$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  is a  $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{M}_\alpha(\emptyset, \emptyset, X)$ -name for a c.c.c. forcing notion. Write  $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{B}\mathbb{M}_\alpha(\emptyset, \emptyset, X) \star \mathcal{P}$ . Assume that there exists a **sufficiently rich family of rank functions** on  $\mathbb{P}$ . Then for each uncountable  $Y \subseteq X$*

$$\mathbb{P} \Vdash G_\emptyset(0) \cap Y \notin \Sigma_\alpha^0(Y).$$

From now on, we consider finite support iterations

$$\mathbb{P} = \langle \mathbb{P}_\gamma, \mathbb{Q}_\gamma : \gamma < \gamma^* \rangle,$$

where  $\mathbb{P}_\gamma \Vdash \mathbb{Q}_{\underset{\sim}{\gamma}} = \text{BM}_{\alpha_\gamma}(\underset{\sim}{A}_\gamma, \underset{\sim}{B}_\gamma, \underset{\sim}{X}_\gamma)$ . Without loss of generality  $A_0 = B_0 = \emptyset$ .



# Most iterations cannot be ranked

## Example

Consider the two-step iteration

$$\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{BM}_\alpha(\emptyset, \emptyset, X) \star \mathbb{BM}_\alpha(X \setminus G_\emptyset(0), G_\emptyset(0), X).$$

Then  $\mathbb{P} \Vdash G_\emptyset(0) \in \Sigma_\alpha^0(X)$ , so by the previous theorem  $\mathbb{P}$  cannot be ranked!

# Two results

Miller's main two results concerning  $\alpha$ -forcing are the following:

Iteration Ranked (Miller 1979, Theorem 34)

$\dot{X}_\gamma = X \in V, \gamma < \gamma^*$  and  $\alpha_\gamma = \alpha_0 + 1, 0 < \gamma < \gamma^*$ .

Theorem (Miller 1979, Theorem 34)

*For every uncountable  $X \subseteq {}^\omega\omega$  and successor ordinal  $\alpha < \omega_1$  there is a c.c.c forcing extension*

$$V[G] \models \text{ord}(X) = \alpha.$$

# Two results

Miller's main two results concerning  $\alpha$ -forcing are the following:

Iteration Ranked (Miller 1979, Theorem 22)

$\dot{X}_\gamma = {}^\omega\omega \cap V^{\mathbb{P}_\gamma}$  and  $\Vdash \dot{A}_\gamma = \dot{B}_\gamma = \emptyset$  for all  $\gamma < \gamma^*$ .

Theorem (Miller 1979, Theorem 22)

*There is a model of*

$$\forall X \subseteq {}^\omega\omega : |X| \leq \aleph_0 \vee \text{ord}(X) = \omega_1.$$

The two proofs are very different, but they can be unified to get the following:

## Iteration Ranked (Ch.)

$X_\gamma = {}^\omega\omega \cap V^{\mathbb{P}_\gamma}$ , either  $\Vdash \underline{A}_\gamma = \underline{B}_\gamma = \emptyset$  or  $\alpha_\gamma > \alpha_0$ .

It would require a much more sophisticated analysis to drop the requirement  $(\nVdash \underline{A}_\gamma = \underline{B}_\gamma = \emptyset) \Rightarrow \alpha_\gamma > \alpha_0$ !

# One result

The two proofs are very different, but they can be unified to get the following:

## Iteration Ranked (Ch.)

$X_\gamma = {}^\omega\omega \cap V^{\mathbb{P}_\gamma}$ , either  $\Vdash A_\gamma = B_\gamma = \emptyset$  or  $\alpha_\gamma > \alpha_0$ .

## Theorem (Ch.)

*Consistently  $\text{ord}(X) \geq \alpha$  for all uncountable  $X \subseteq {}^\omega\omega$  and  $\text{ord}(X) = \alpha$  for  $|X| < 2^\omega$ .*

Note that the perfect set property starts taking hold at  $|X| = 2^\omega$ .

# Generalized descriptive set theory

Let  $\kappa = \kappa^{<\kappa}$  be a regular cardinal.

The analogue we are now interested in are the  $\kappa$ -Borel subsets of  $X \subseteq {}^\kappa\kappa$ !

## Definition

The higher Baire space  ${}^\kappa\kappa$  is endowed with the *bounded* topology generated by the sets  $[s] = \{x \mid s \subseteq x\}$  for  $s \in {}^{<\kappa}\kappa$ .

For  $X \subseteq {}^\kappa\kappa$ , the  $\kappa$ -Borel hierarchy is generated by taking  $\leq_\kappa$ -unions and intersections.

## Definition

Let  $\text{ord}_\kappa(X)$  be the least ordinal  $\alpha$  such that

$$\kappa\text{-}\Sigma_\alpha^0(X) = \kappa\text{-}\Pi_\alpha^0(X) = \kappa\text{-}\mathbf{Bor}(X).$$

We have  $1 \leq \text{ord}_\kappa(X) \leq \kappa^+$ .

One can attempt to generalize the requisite notions and define a higher version of  $\alpha$ -forcing. The presence of nodes  $\eta \in T$  with limit rank  $< \kappa$  makes this difficult, necessitating the use of a more complicated combinatorial structure. Let us write  $\mathbb{BM}_\alpha^\kappa(A, B, X)$  for the  $\kappa$ -version of  $\alpha$ -forcing.

Instead of finite support, we now work with  $< \kappa$ -supported iterations of  $\kappa^+$ -c.c. forcings.

# Preserving the generic $\Pi$ -set, revisited

## Theorem

Suppose  $\lambda \geq \kappa$ ,  $1 < \alpha < \kappa^+$ ,  $X \subseteq {}^\kappa 2$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  is a  $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{M}_\alpha^\kappa(\emptyset, \emptyset, X)$ -name for a  $\lambda^+$ -c.c. forcing notion. Write  $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{B}\mathbb{M}_\alpha^\kappa(\emptyset, \emptyset, X) \star \mathcal{P}$ . Lastly, assume that  $\mathbb{P}$  admits a **sufficiently rich family of rank functions**.

Then for each  $Y \subseteq X$  with  $|Y| > \lambda$ ,

$$\mathbb{P} \Vdash G_\emptyset(0) \cap Y \notin \lambda\text{-}\Sigma_\alpha^0(Y).$$



## Iteration Ranked (Agostini, Ch., Motto Ros, Pitton)

$\tilde{X}_\gamma = X \in V$ ,  $\alpha_\gamma = \alpha_0 + 1 < \omega$  for  $\gamma > 0$ .

## Theorem (Agostini, Ch., Motto Ros, Pitton)

*Suppose  $X \subseteq {}^\kappa\kappa$  is of size  $|X| > \kappa$  and  $1 < \alpha < \omega$ . Then there is a  $<\kappa$ -closed,  $\kappa^+$ -c.c. forcing extension such that*

$$V[G] \models \text{ord}_\kappa(X) = \alpha.$$

## Iteration Ranked (Ch.)

- $\tilde{X}_\gamma = X_\gamma \in V$ ,
- either  $\alpha_\gamma > \alpha_0$  or  $\mathbb{P}_\gamma \Vdash \tilde{A}_\gamma = \tilde{B}_\gamma = \emptyset$  or  $|X_\gamma| < |X_0|$ .

## Theorem (Ch.)

*Suppose  $X \subseteq {}^\kappa\kappa$ ,  $|X| > \kappa$  and  $1 < \alpha < \kappa^+$  is a successor. Then there is a  $<\kappa$ -closed,  $\kappa^+$ -c.c. forcing extension such that*

$$V[G] \models \text{ord}_\kappa(X) = \alpha.$$

## Theorem (Ch.)

Let  $f$  be a function assigning to each cardinal  $\lambda$  with  $\kappa < \lambda \leq 2^\kappa$  an ordinal  $1 < f(\lambda) \leq \kappa^+$  such that

- ①  $f$  is (not necessarily strictly) increasing,
- ②  $f(2^\kappa) = \kappa^+$ ,
- ③ if  $\lambda$  is a successor cardinal, then  $f(\lambda)$  is a successor ordinal or  $f(\lambda) = \kappa^+$ ,
- ④ Continuity: if  $\lambda$  is a limit cardinal, then  $f(\lambda) = \sup_{\lambda' < \lambda} f(\lambda')$ .

Then there exists a  $<\kappa$ -closed,  $\kappa^+$ -c.c. generic extension  $V[G]$  of the universe such that

$$V[G] \models \forall X \subseteq {}^\kappa \kappa, X \in V, |X| > \kappa : \text{ord}_\kappa(X) = f(|X|).$$

# Ranked iterations

Setting Type	$\omega$	$\kappa = \kappa^{<\kappa},$ $\alpha$ finite	$\kappa = \kappa^{<\kappa},$ $1 < \alpha < \kappa^+$
fixed space $X$	Miller 1979	Agostini, Ch., Motto Ros, Pitton	Ch.
$\underset{\sim}{X}_\gamma = {}^\omega\omega \cap V^{\mathbb{P}_\gamma},$ only increase	Miller 1979	Ch.: at least for $\gamma^* < \omega$	
$\underset{\sim}{X}_\gamma = {}^\omega\omega \cap V^{\mathbb{P}_\gamma},$ one $\alpha$	Ch.	Possibly at least for $\gamma^* < \omega$	
separate by size: ground model	essentially Miller '79 (Th. 34,52)	Ch.	
separate by size: all subsets	?		

Děkuji za pozornost!

# Leaving $\omega$ behind and reaping the benefits

## Definability of $X$

Suppose  $X \subseteq {}^\omega\omega$  is itself definable (Borel). Then  $\text{ord}_\omega(X) \in \{1, 2, \omega_1\}$  by the perfect set property. This no longer has to be the case in the generalized setting: consistently, the  $\kappa$ -perfect set property fails even for closed sets!

# Leaving $\omega$ behind and reaping the benefits

Combining arguments of Silver, Lücke, Agostini/Ch./Motto  
Ros/Pitton and Ch. gives us:

## Theorem

*For  $\kappa > \omega$  and  $1 < \alpha < \kappa^+$  there consistently exists a  $\kappa$ -Borel set  $B$  with  $\text{ord}_\kappa(B) = \alpha$ .*

Moreover, in the preceding theorems, whenever a set  $X \in V$  is  $\kappa$ -Borel with no perfect subset, it will retain the **same** definition through its  $\kappa$ -Borel code in the forcing extension. One can arrange  $X \in \kappa\text{-}\Sigma_2^0$  with no perfect subset for every  $X \in V$ .

# Bibliography

- [Ago+25] Claudio Agostini, Nick Chapman, Luca Motto Ros, and Beatrice Pitton. *Generalized Borel Sets*. 2025. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2511.15663>.
- [Chaa] Nick Chapman. *On  $\lambda$ -Borel Subsets of the Reals*. in preparation.
- [Chab] Nick Chapman. *Ranked Forcing and the Length of Generalized Borel Hierarchies*. in preparation.
- [Mil79] Arnold W. Miller. “On the length of Borel hierarchies”. In: *Annals of Mathematical Logic* 16.3 (1979).
- [Mil95] Arnold W. Miller. *Descriptive set theory and forcing: how to prove theorems about Borel sets the hard way*. eng. Lecture notes in logic 4. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer, 1995. ISBN: 978-3-540-60059-6 978-0-387-60059-8.