

# Perfect set dichotomy theorem in generalized Solovay model

Toshimasa Tanno

A joint work with Hiroshi Sakai

Kobe University

Winter School in Abstract Analysis

January 5th, 2026

- ① Perfect set dichotomy theorem in Solovay model and applications
- ② Generalized Solovay model at uncountable regular cardinal
- ③ Question

## Solovay model

### Definition (Solovay model)

Let  $\kappa$  be an inaccessible cardinal and let  $G$  be a  $\text{Coll}(\omega, < \kappa)$ -generic filter over  $V$ .

Then we call  $V(\mathbb{R}^{V[G]}) = \text{HOD}_{V \cup \mathbb{R}^{V[G]}}^{V[G]}$  the **Solovay model** for  $\kappa$ .

### Theorem (Solovay)

Let  $\kappa$  be an inaccessible cardinal and let  $G$  be a  $\text{Coll}(\omega, < \kappa)$ -generic filter over  $V$ .

Then  $V(\mathbb{R}^{V[G]})$  is a model of  $\text{ZF} + \text{DC}$  in which all sets of reals have regularity properties, such as the Baire property, Lebesgue measurability and the perfect set property.

In the Solovay model, every subset of the reals has “regularity” properties of definable sets.

## Perfect set dichotomy

We focus on a dichotomy theorem for equivalence relations on the reals.

The *perfect set dichotomy* for an equivalence relation  $E$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  asserts that either

- (1)  $\mathbb{R}/E$  is well-orderable, or
- (2) there is a perfect set of pairwise  $E$ -inequivalent reals.

## Perfect set dichotomy

Classical results establish the perfect set dichotomy for definable equivalence relations.

### Theorem (Silver)

Let  $E$  be a  $\Pi_1^1$  equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then either there is an injection from  $\mathbb{R}/E$  to  $\omega$  or there is a perfect set of pairwise  $E$ -inequivalent reals.

### Theorem (Burgess)

Let  $E$  be a  $\Sigma_1^1$  equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then either there is an injection from  $\mathbb{R}/E$  to  $\omega_1$  or there is a perfect set of pairwise  $E$ -inequivalent reals.

## Perfect set dichotomy

How about models in which every set of reals has regularity properties of definable sets?

Theorem (Woodin)

Assume  $ZF + AD + V = L(\mathbb{R})$ . Then the perfect set dichotomy holds for all equivalence relations on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

## Perfect set dichotomy

How about models in which every set of reals has regularity properties of definable sets?

### Theorem (Woodin)

Assume  $ZF + AD + V = L(\mathbb{R})$ . Then the perfect set dichotomy holds for all equivalence relations on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

### Theorem (Sakai, T.)

In the Solovay model  $V(\mathbb{R}^{V[G]})$  for an inaccessible cardinal, the perfect set dichotomy holds for all equivalence relations on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

## Sketch of Proof

We work in  $V[G]$ . Let  $E$  be an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{R}$  in  $V(\mathbb{R}^{V[G]})$ .

$\Omega := \{(\xi, p, \dot{x}) \mid \xi < \kappa, p \in \text{Coll}(\omega, \xi), \dot{x} \text{ is a } \text{Coll}(\omega, \xi)\text{-name for a real with } \dot{x} \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa^V\}$ .

For  $(\xi, p, \dot{x}) \in \Omega$ ,

$\text{Eval}(\xi, p, \dot{x}) := \{\dot{x}[h] \mid h \text{ is a } \text{Coll}(\omega, \xi)\text{-generic filter over } V \text{ with } p \in h\}$ .

## Sketch of Proof

We work in  $V[G]$ . Let  $E$  be an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{R}$  in  $V(\mathbb{R}^{V[G]})$ .

$\Omega := \{(\xi, p, \dot{x}) \mid \xi < \kappa, p \in \text{Coll}(\omega, \xi), \dot{x} \text{ is a } \text{Coll}(\omega, \xi)\text{-name for a real with } \dot{x} \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa^V\}$ .

For  $(\xi, p, \dot{x}) \in \Omega$ ,

$\text{Eval}(\xi, p, \dot{x}) := \{\dot{x}[h] \mid h \text{ is a } \text{Coll}(\omega, \xi)\text{-generic filter over } V \text{ with } p \in h\}$ .

- **Case I :**

$\forall(\xi, q, \dot{x}) \in \Omega \ \exists p \leq q \ (\forall x_0, x_1 \in \text{Eval}(\xi, p, \dot{x}) \ x_0 E x_1)$ .

- **Case II :**

$\exists(\xi, q, \dot{x}) \in \Omega \ \forall p \leq q \ (\exists x_0, x_1 \in \text{Eval}(\xi, p, \dot{x}) \ x_0 \not E x_1)$ .

## Sketch of Proof (Case I)

**Case I** :  $\forall(\xi, q, \dot{x}) \in \Omega \ \exists p \leq q \ (\forall x_0, x_1 \in \text{Eval}(\xi, p, \dot{x}) \ x_0 E x_1).$

We show that  $\mathbb{R}/E$  is well-orderable.

Suppose  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . We can take  $(\xi, p, \dot{x}) \in \Omega$  such that  $a \in \text{Eval}(\xi, p, \dot{x})$  and  $\forall x_0, x_1 \in \text{Eval}(\xi, p, \dot{x}) \ x_0 E x_1$ .

Thus for all  $A \in \mathbb{R}/E$  there is  $(\xi_A, p_A, \dot{x}_A) \in \Omega$  such that

$$\text{Eval}(\xi_A, p_A, \dot{x}_A) \subseteq A.$$

Since AC holds in  $V$  and  $V \subseteq V(\mathbb{R}^{V[G]})$ , we can take a well-ordering  $\leq_\Omega$  of  $\Omega$ .

Define a well-order  $\leq_E$  on  $\mathbb{R}/E$  by  $A \leq_E B : \Leftrightarrow \text{Eval}(\xi_A, p_A, \dot{x}_A) \leq_\Omega \text{Eval}(\xi_B, p_B, \dot{x}_B)$ .

## Sketch of Proof (Case II)

**Case II** :  $\exists(\xi, q, \dot{x}) \in \Omega \ \forall p \leq q \ (\exists x_0, x_1 \in \text{Eval}(\xi, p, \dot{x}) \ x_0 \not\sim x_1)$ .

We construct a perfect subset of  $\not\sim$ -inequivalent reals.

For such  $(\xi, q, \dot{x}) \in \Omega$ , in  $V$ ,

$$(q, q) \Vdash_{\text{Coll}(\omega, \xi) \times \text{Coll}(\omega, \xi)} \Vdash_{\text{Coll}(\omega, < \kappa)} \dot{x}_{\text{left}} \not\sim \dot{x}_{\text{right}}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{P}^V(\text{Coll}(\omega, \xi))$  is countable in  $V(\mathbb{R}^{V[G]})$ , we can construct a sequence  $\langle h_b \mid b \in 2^\omega \rangle$  such that  $q \in h_b$  and  $h_b \times h_c$  is  $\text{Coll}(\omega, \xi) \times \text{Coll}(\omega, \xi)$ -generic over  $V$ . Then  $\{\dot{x}_{h_b} \mid b \in 2^\omega\}$  is a perfect set as desired. □

# The dichotomy theorem for sets

As a corollary, we obtain the dichotomy theorem for all sets.

## Theorem

In the Solovay model  $V(\mathbb{R}^{V[G]})$  for an inaccessible cardinal, for any set  $X$ , either  $X$  is well-orderable or there is an injection from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $X$ .

## Sketch of Proof.

We work in  $V(\mathbb{R}^{V[G]})$  and let  $X$  be a set.

Take an ordinal  $\lambda$  and a surjection  $f: V_\lambda \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ .

For  $v \in V_\lambda$  let  $X_v := f[\{v\} \times \mathbb{R}]$ . Note that  $X = \bigcup_{v \in V_\lambda} X_v$ .

Assume that there is no injection from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $X_v$  for all  $v \in V_\lambda$ .

For each  $v \in V_\lambda$  define an equivalent relation  $E_v$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  by

$$x \ E_v \ y \Leftrightarrow f(v, x) = f(v, y).$$

Note that  $[x]_{E_v} \mapsto f(v, x)$  is a bijection from  $\mathbb{R}/E_v$  to  $X_v$ .

## Sketch of Proof.

Applying the perfect set dichotomy, we obtain well-order  $\leq_v$  on  $\mathbb{R}/E_v$ .

Moreover, by the proof, we can obtain such well-orderings uniformly for  $v \in V_\lambda$ .

From  $\langle \leq_v \mid v \in V_\lambda \rangle$  and  $f$ , we can construct a well-ordering on  $X = \bigcup_{v \in V_\lambda} X_v$ . □

# Three-element basis theorem for uncountable linear orderings

## Theorem (Sakai, T.)

*In the Solovay model  $V(\mathbb{R}^{V[G]})$  for a weakly compact cardinal, for any uncountable linear ordered set  $X$ , at least one of  $(\omega_1, <)$ ,  $(\omega_1, >)$  or  $(\mathbb{R}, <_{\mathbb{R}})$  is embeddable into  $X$ .*

## Three-element basis theorem for uncountable linear orderings

### Theorem (Sakai, T.)

*In the Solovay model  $V(\mathbb{R}^{V[G]})$  for a weakly compact cardinal, for any uncountable linear ordered set  $X$ , at least one of  $(\omega_1, <)$ ,  $(\omega_1, >)$  or  $(\mathbb{R}, <_{\mathbb{R}})$  is embeddable into  $X$ .*

For the proof, we see the partition properties of  $\omega_1$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  in the Solovay model.

### Lemma

In the Solovay model  $V(\mathbb{R}^{V[G]})$  for a weakly compact cardinal,  $\omega_1 \rightarrow (\omega_1)_2^2$  holds.

### Lemma (Galvin)

Let  $[\mathbb{R}]^2 = P_0 \sqcup P_1$  be a partition. Suppose  $P_0$  and  $P_1$  have the Baire property. Then there is a perfect set  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that  $[C]^2 \subseteq P_i$  for some  $i = 0, 1$ .

## Three-element basis theorem for uncountable linear orderings

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \leq_X)$  be an uncountable linear order. Apply the dichotomy theorem.

**Case I** :  $X$  is well-orderable.

Fix an injection  $f: \omega_1 \rightarrow X$ . Define  $g: [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow 2$  by

$$g(\{\alpha, \beta\}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } f(\alpha) <_X f(\beta) \\ 1 & \text{if } f(\alpha) >_X f(\beta) \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha < \beta$ .

There is a  $g$ -homogeneous  $C \subseteq \omega_1$  with  $\text{otp}(C) = \omega_1$ .

If  $g(\{\alpha, \beta\}) = 0$  for all  $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in [C]^2$  with  $\alpha < \beta$ , then  $f|C$  is an embedding from  $(C, \leq)$  to  $(X, \leq_X)$ .

Otherwise,  $f|C$  is an embedding from  $(C, \geq)$  to  $(X, \leq_X)$ .

## Three-element basis theorem for uncountable linear orderings

**Case II** : there is an injection from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $X$ .

Fix an injection  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ .

By the same argument as Case I and Galvin's lemma, there is a perfect  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that either  $(C, \leq_{\mathbb{R}})$  or  $(C, \geq_{\mathbb{R}})$  is embeddable into  $(X, \leq_X)$ .

Since  $(\mathbb{R}, \leq_{\mathbb{R}})$  is embeddable into both  $(C, \leq_{\mathbb{R}})$  and  $(C, \geq_{\mathbb{R}})$ , there is an embedding from  $(\mathbb{R}, \leq_{\mathbb{R}})$  to  $(X, \leq_X)$ . □

## Three-element basis theorem in $L(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying AD

Using Woodin's perfect set dichotomy and partition properties under AD, we can obtain the same consequence in  $L(\mathbb{R})$  satisfying AD. <sup>1</sup>

### Theorem

*Assume ZF +  $V = L(\mathbb{R}) + \text{AD}$ . For any uncountable linear ordered set  $X$ , at least one of  $(\omega_1, <)$ ,  $(\omega_1, >)$  or  $(\mathbb{R}, <_{\mathbb{R}})$  is embeddable into  $X$ .*

---

<sup>1</sup>Weinert independently noticed this result.

## Generalized Solovay model

We consider a generalization of the Solovay model for an uncountable regular cardinal  $\mu$ , collapsing an inaccessible cardinal to the successor of  $\mu$ .

We investigate subsets of  $\mu^\mu$  in this generalized Solovay model.

### Definition

Suppose  $\mu$  is an uncountable regular cardinal and  $\kappa$  is an inaccessible cardinal with  $\mu < \kappa$ . Let  $G$  be a  $\text{Coll}(\mu, < \kappa)$ -generic filter over  $V$ . Then we call

$V((\mu^\mu)^{V[G]}) = \text{HOD}_{V \cup (\mu^\mu)^{V[G]}}^{V[G]}$  the  **$\mu$ -Solovay model** for  $\kappa$ .

# Perfect set property at $\mu^\mu$

## Definition

Let  $\mu$  be an uncountable regular cardinal. Suppose  $T$  is a subtree of  $\mu^{<\mu}$ .

- $T$  is *perfect* if  $T$  is closed such that for all  $s \in T$  there is a splitting node  $t \in T$  with  $s \subseteq t$ .
- $A \subseteq \mu^\mu$  is *perfect* if  $A$  is the body  $[T]$  of some perfect tree  $T \subseteq \mu^{<\mu}$ .
- $A \subseteq \mu^\mu$  has the *perfect set property* if  $|A| \leq \mu$  or  $A$  has a perfect subset.

## Perfect set property in $V((\mu^\mu)^{V[G]})$

As in the Solovay model  $V(\mathbb{R}^{V[G]})$ , the perfect set property holds in the generalized Solovay model.

### Theorem (Schlicht)

*Suppose  $\mu$  is an uncountable regular cardinal and  $\kappa$  is an inaccessible cardinal with  $\mu < \kappa$ . Let  $G$  be a  $\text{Coll}(\mu, < \kappa)$ -generic filter over  $V$ .*

*In  $V[G]$ , every subset of  $\mu^\mu$  in  $V((\mu^\mu)^{V[G]})$  has the perfect set property.*

## Perfect dichotomy in $V((\mu^\mu)^{V[G]})$

We consider the perfect set dichotomy for equivalence relation on  $\mu^\mu$  in  $V((\mu^\mu)^{V[G]})$ .

### Theorem (Sakai, T.)

*Suppose  $\mu$  is an uncountable regular cardinal and  $\kappa$  is an inaccessible cardinal with  $\mu < \kappa$ . Let  $G$  be a  $\text{Coll}(\mu, < \kappa)$ -generic filter over  $V$ .*

*In the  $\mu$ -Solovay model  $V((\mu^\mu)^{V[G]})$  for  $\kappa$ , for any equivalence relation  $E$  on  $\mu^\mu$ , either  $\mu^\mu/E$  is well-orderable or there is a pairwise  $E$ -inequivalent perfect subset of  $\mu^\mu$ .*

We see the issues that arise in proving this higher analogue.

## Quotient of Lévy collapse

One of the most important facts in the analysis of the Solovay model  $V(\mathbb{R}^{V[G]})$  is the following factorization.

### Fact (Factorization of $\text{Coll}(\omega, <\kappa)$ )

*Suppose  $\kappa$  is an inaccessible cardinal and  $G$  is a  $\text{Coll}(\omega, <\kappa)$ -generic filter over  $V$ . Let  $x \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa^{V[G]}$  be a set of ordinals. Then, in  $V[G]$ , there is a  $\text{Coll}(\omega, <\kappa)$ -generic filter  $G'$  over  $V[x]$  such that  $V[G] = V[x][G']$ .*

By this fact and the homogeneity of  $\text{Coll}(\omega, <\kappa)$ , whether a real  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{V[G]}$  satisfies a given formula in  $V[G]$  can be determined by considering a small extension of  $V$  containing  $x$ .

## Quotient of Lévy collapse

Suppose  $\mu$  is an uncountable regular cardinal.

The point is that a quotient forcing of a  $\mu$ -closed forcing is not necessarily  $\mu$ -closed.  
Consequently, the higher analogue of the factorization for  $\text{Coll}(\mu, < \kappa)$  does not hold.

## Quotient of Lévy collapse

Suppose  $\mu$  is an uncountable regular cardinal.

The point is that a quotient forcing of a  $\mu$ -closed forcing is not necessarily  $\mu$ -closed.  
Consequently, the higher analogue of the factorization for  $\text{Coll}(\mu, < \kappa)$  does not hold.

To overcome this problem, we focus on the extensions of  $V$  of which  $V[G]$  is a forcing extension by  $\text{Coll}(\mu, < \kappa)$ .

## Nice extension

### Definition

Suppose  $\mu$  is an uncountable regular cardinal and  $\kappa$  is an inaccessible cardinal with  $\mu < \kappa$ . Let  $G$  be a  $\text{Coll}(\mu, < \kappa)$ -generic filter over  $V$ .

A set of ordinals  $x \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa^{V[G]}$  is nice if for any  $y \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa^{V[G]}$  there is a poset  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa^{V[x]}$  and a  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic filter  $g$  over  $V[x]$  such that

- $\mathbb{P}$  is  $\mu$ -closed in  $V[x]$ ,
- $y \in V[x][g]$ .

Note that for each  $\xi < \kappa$ ,  $G_\xi = G \cap \text{Coll}(\mu, < \xi)$  is nice.

## Nice extension

Nice extensions have  $\text{Coll}(\mu, <\kappa)$  as a quotient in  $V[G]$  ;

### Proposition

Suppose  $\mu$  is an uncountable regular cardinal and  $\kappa$  is an inaccessible cardinal with  $\mu < \kappa$ . Let  $G$  be a  $\text{Coll}(\mu, <\kappa)$ -generic filter over  $V$ .

Suppose  $x \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa^{V[G]}$  is nice. Then  $V[G]$  is an extension of  $V[x]$  by  $\text{Coll}(\mu, <\kappa)$ .

## The higher perfect set dichotomy

By focusing on the nice extensions of  $V$ , and applying the argument of Schlicht's proof of the perfect set property in  $V((\mu^\mu)^{V[G]})$ , we can show the higher perfect set dichotomy in  $V((\mu^\mu)^{V[G]})$ .

### Theorem (Restatement)

*Suppose  $\mu$  is an uncountable regular cardinal and  $\kappa$  is an inaccessible cardinal with  $\mu < \kappa$ . Let  $G$  be a  $\text{Coll}(\mu, < \kappa)$ -generic filter over  $V$ .*

*In the  $\mu$ -Solovay model  $V((\mu^\mu)^{V[G]})$  for  $\kappa$ , for any equivalence relation  $E$  on  $\mu^\mu$ , either  $\mu^\mu/E$  is well-orderable or there is a pairwise  $E$ -inequivalent perfect subset of  $\mu^\mu$ .*

## Application for linear orderings

As in the case of the Solovay model  $V(\mathbb{R}^{V[G]})$ , we obtain a corollary about the basis of linear orderings, using partition properties of  $\mu^+$  and  $\mu^\mu$ .

## Application for linear orderings

As in the case of the Solovay model  $V(\mathbb{R}^{V[G]})$ , we obtain a corollary about the basis of linear orderings, using partition properties of  $\mu^+$  and  $\mu^\mu$ .

### Lemma

Suppose  $\mu$  is an uncountable regular cardinal and  $\kappa$  is a weakly compact cardinal with  $\mu < \kappa$ . Let  $G$  be a  $\text{Coll}(\mu, < \kappa)$ -generic filter over  $V$ .

In  $V((\mu^\mu)^{V[G]})$ ,  $\mu^+ \rightarrow (\mu^+)_2^2$  holds.

### Proposition

Suppose  $\mu$  is an uncountable regular cardinal and  $\kappa$  is an inaccessible cardinal with  $\mu < \kappa$ . Let  $G$  be a  $\text{Coll}(\mu, < \kappa)$ -generic filter over  $V$ .

In  $V((\mu^\mu)^{V[G]})$  let  $[\mu^\mu]^2 = P_0 \sqcup P_1$  be a partition. Then there is a perfect  $C \subseteq \mu^\mu$  such that  $[C]^2 \subseteq P_i$  for some  $i = 0, 1$ .

## Three-element basis

### Theorem (Sakai, T.)

Suppose  $\mu$  is an uncountable regular cardinal and  $\kappa$  is a weakly compact cardinal with  $\mu < \kappa$ . Let  $G$  be a  $\text{Coll}(\mu, < \kappa)$ -generic filter over  $V$ .

In  $V((\mu^\mu)^{V[G]})$ , for any linear ordering  $X$  such that there is no injection from  $X$  to  $\mu$ , at least one of  $(\mu^+, \leqslant)$ ,  $(\mu^+, \geqslant)$  or  $(2^\mu, \leqslant_{\text{lex}})$  is embeddable into  $X$ , where  $\leqslant_{\text{lex}}$  is the lexicographic ordering.

## Question : higher analogues

Perfect set property and perfect set dichotomy hold in  $\mu$ -Solovay model.

However, some higher analogues of regularity properties fail.

### Fact

*The higher analogue of the Baire property for all subsets of  $\mu^\mu$  in  $V((\mu^\mu)^{V[G]})$  fails.*

### Question

What types of statements have higher analogues, and which ones fail?

## Question : generalization at singular

We have focused on the generalization of the Solovay model to uncountable regular cardinals, using  $\text{Coll}(\mu, <\kappa)$ .

## Question : generalization at singular

We have focused on the generalization of the Solovay model to uncountable regular cardinals, using  $\text{Coll}(\mu, < \kappa)$ .

The generalizations at singular cardinal have been investigated.

### Theorem (Dimonte)

Suppose  $\theta$  is an inaccessible cardinal and  $\mu$  is a  $\theta$ -supercompact cardinal with  $\mu < \theta$ . Let  $U$  be a  $\theta$ -supercompactness measure and let  $\mathbb{P}_U$  be the supercompact Prikry forcing for  $U$ . Let  $G$  be a  $\mathbb{P}_U$ -generic filter over  $V$ . In  $V[G]$ ,

$$H^G := \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}(\mu) \cap V[G \downarrow \alpha] \mid \alpha < \theta\}.$$

Then in  $V[G]$ , all subsets of  ${}^\omega\mu$  in  $L(H^G)$  have the perfect set property.

## Question : generalization at singular

The proof of the perfect set property in  $L(H^G)$  is similar to Solovay's original proof.

### Question

In the singular generalized Solovay model  $L(H^G)$ , does the perfect set dichotomy hold for all equivalence relations on  ${}^\omega\mu$ ?

# Reference I

[1] John P Burgess.

Effective enumeration of classes in a  $\Sigma_1^1$  equivalence relation.

*Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, Vol. 28, No. 3, pp. 353–364, 1979.

[2] William Chan and Stephen Jackson.

$L(\mathbb{R})$  with determinacy satisfies the suslin hypothesis.

*Advances in Mathematics*, Vol. 346, pp. 305–328, 2019.

[3] William Chan and Stephen Jackson.

Cardinality of wellordered disjoint unions of quotients of smooth equivalence relations.

*Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, Vol. 172, No. 8, p. 102988, 2021.

[4] George Kafkoulis.

The consistency strength of an infinitary ramsey property.

*The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, Vol. 59, No. 4, pp. 1158–1195, 1994.

[5] Hiroshi Sakai and Toshimasa Tanno.

Perfect set dichotomy theorem in generalized solovay model.

*arXiv preprint arXiv:2512.03386*, 2025.

## Reference II

[6] Philipp Schlicht.

Perfect subsets of generalized Baire spaces and long games.

*J. Symb. Log.*, Vol. 82, No. 4, pp. 1317–1355, 2017.

[7] Jack H Silver.

Counting the number of equivalence classes of borel and coanalytic equivalence relations.

*Annals of Mathematical Logic*, Vol. 18, No. 1, pp. 1–28, 1980.

[8] Robert M Solovay.

A model of set-theory in which every set of reals is lebesgue measurable.

*Annals of Mathematics*, Vol. 92, No. 1, pp. 1–56, 1970.