

# **Strongly increasing sequences of functions**

**Chris Lambie-Hanson**

**Institute of Mathematics  
Czech Academy of Sciences**

**Winter School in Abstract Analysis  
Hejnice**

**31 January 2025**

Joint work with Paul Larson.



# Motivating questions

Question (Bukovský–Copláková–Hartová)

*Can there exist a pair of inner models of ZFC  $V \subseteq W$  such that  $(\aleph_{\omega+1})^V = (\aleph_2)^W$ ?*

# Motivating questions

Question (Bukovský–Copláková–Hartová)

*Can there exist a pair of inner models of ZFC  $V \subseteq W$  such that  $(\aleph_{\omega+1})^V = (\aleph_2)^W$ ?*

Question

*Is the Chang's Conjecture variant  $(\aleph_{\omega+1}, \aleph_\omega) \rightarrow (\aleph_2, \aleph_1)$  consistent?*

# Motivating questions

Question (Bukovský–Copláková–Hartová)

*Can there exist a pair of inner models of ZFC  $V \subseteq W$  such that  $(\aleph_{\omega+1})^V = (\aleph_2)^W$ ?*

Question

*Is the Chang's Conjecture variant  $(\aleph_{\omega+1}, \aleph_\omega) \rightarrow (\aleph_2, \aleph_1)$  consistent?*

Both questions are known to have positive answers if  $\aleph_2$  is replaced by  $\aleph_1$ .

# Motivating questions

Question (Bukovský–Copláková–Hartová)

*Can there exist a pair of inner models of ZFC  $V \subseteq W$  such that  $(\aleph_{\omega+1})^V = (\aleph_2)^W$ ?*

Question

*Is the Chang's Conjecture variant  $(\aleph_{\omega+1}, \aleph_\omega) \rightarrow (\aleph_2, \aleph_1)$  consistent?*

Both questions are known to have positive answers if  $\aleph_2$  is replaced by  $\aleph_1$ . If  $(\aleph_{\omega+1}, \aleph_\omega) \rightarrow (\aleph_2, \aleph_1)$  holds and there exists a Woodin cardinal, then there is a forcing extension via stationary tower forcing in which  $(\aleph_{\omega+1})^V = \aleph_2$ .

# Increasing sequences

Suppose that  $f, g : \omega \rightarrow \text{Ord}$ .

# Increasing sequences

Suppose that  $f, g : \omega \rightarrow \text{Ord}$ .

- For all  $n < \omega$ , we say that  $f <_n g$  if  $f(m) < g(m)$  for all  $m \in \omega \setminus n$ .



# Increasing sequences

Suppose that  $f, g : \omega \rightarrow \text{Ord}$ .

- For all  $n < \omega$ , we say that  $f <_n g$  if  $f(m) < g(m)$  for all  $m \in \omega \setminus n$ .
- We say that  $f <^* g$  if there exists  $n < \omega$  such that  $f <_n g$ .

# Increasing sequences

Suppose that  $f, g : \omega \rightarrow \text{Ord}$ .

- For all  $n < \omega$ , we say that  $f <_n g$  if  $f(m) < g(m)$  for all  $m \in \omega \setminus n$ .
- We say that  $f <^* g$  if there exists  $n < \omega$  such that  $f <_n g$ .

## Definition

Let  $\delta$  be an ordinal and  $\vec{f} = \langle f_\alpha \mid \alpha < \delta \rangle$  a sequence of elements of  ${}^\omega \text{Ord}$ . We say that  $\vec{f}$  is *strongly increasing* if

# Increasing sequences

Suppose that  $f, g : \omega \rightarrow \text{Ord}$ .

- For all  $n < \omega$ , we say that  $f <_n g$  if  $f(m) < g(m)$  for all  $m \in \omega \setminus n$ .
- We say that  $f <^* g$  if there exists  $n < \omega$  such that  $f <_n g$ .

## Definition

Let  $\delta$  be an ordinal and  $\vec{f} = \langle f_\alpha \mid \alpha < \delta \rangle$  a sequence of elements of  ${}^\omega \text{Ord}$ . We say that  $\vec{f}$  is *strongly increasing* if

- 1  $\vec{f}$  is  $<^*$ -increasing; and

# Increasing sequences

Suppose that  $f, g : \omega \rightarrow \text{Ord}$ .

- For all  $n < \omega$ , we say that  $f <_n g$  if  $f(m) < g(m)$  for all  $m \in \omega \setminus n$ .
- We say that  $f <^* g$  if there exists  $n < \omega$  such that  $f <_n g$ .

## Definition

Let  $\delta$  be an ordinal and  $\vec{f} = \langle f_\alpha \mid \alpha < \delta \rangle$  a sequence of elements of  ${}^\omega \text{Ord}$ . We say that  $\vec{f}$  is *strongly increasing* if

- 1  $\vec{f}$  is  $<^*$ -increasing; and
- 2 for every limit ordinal  $\beta < \delta$ , there is a club  $C_\beta$  in  $\beta$  and an  $n_\beta < \omega$  such that  $f_\alpha <_{n_\beta} f_\beta$  for all  $\alpha \in C_\beta$ .

## Basic facts

- 1 There is a strongly increasing sequence of length  $\omega_1$  in  ${}^\omega\omega$ .

## Basic facts

- 1 There is a strongly increasing sequence of length  $\omega_1$  in  ${}^\omega\omega$ .
- 2 There is a strongly increasing sequence of length  $\aleph_{\omega+1}$  in  $\prod_{k<\omega} \omega_k$ .

## Basic facts

- 1 There is a strongly increasing sequence of length  $\omega_1$  in  ${}^\omega\omega$ .
- 2 There is a strongly increasing sequence of length  $\aleph_{\omega+1}$  in  $\prod_{k<\omega} \omega_k$ .
- 3 (Shelah) If  $4 \leq m < \omega$  and  $\gamma < \omega_m$ , then there is no strongly increasing sequence of length  $\omega_m$  in  ${}^\omega\gamma$ .

## Basic facts

- 1 There is a strongly increasing sequence of length  $\omega_1$  in  ${}^\omega\omega$ .
- 2 There is a strongly increasing sequence of length  $\aleph_{\omega+1}$  in  $\prod_{k<\omega} \omega_k$ .
- 3 (Shelah) If  $4 \leq m < \omega$  and  $\gamma < \omega_m$ , then there is no strongly increasing sequence of length  $\omega_m$  in  ${}^\omega\gamma$ .

### Corollary

Suppose that  $V \subseteq W$  are inner models of ZFC and  $4 \leq m < \omega$ .  
Then  $(\aleph_{\omega+1})^V \neq (\aleph_m)^W$ .



## Basic facts

- 1 There is a strongly increasing sequence of length  $\omega_1$  in  ${}^\omega\omega$ .
- 2 There is a strongly increasing sequence of length  $\aleph_{\omega+1}$  in  $\prod_{k<\omega} \omega_k$ .
- 3 (Shelah) If  $4 \leq m < \omega$  and  $\gamma < \omega_m$ , then there is no strongly increasing sequence of length  $\omega_m$  in  ${}^\omega\gamma$ .

### Corollary

Suppose that  $V \subseteq W$  are inner models of ZFC and  $4 \leq m < \omega$ .  
Then  $(\aleph_{\omega+1})^V \neq (\aleph_m)^W$ .

### Proof.

Otherwise, use (2) to fix in  $V$  a strongly increasing sequence  $\vec{f}$  in  $\prod_{n<\omega} \omega_n$  of length  $\aleph_{\omega+1}$ .

## Basic facts

- 1 There is a strongly increasing sequence of length  $\omega_1$  in  ${}^\omega\omega$ .
- 2 There is a strongly increasing sequence of length  $\aleph_{\omega+1}$  in  $\prod_{k<\omega} \omega_k$ .
- 3 (Shelah) If  $4 \leq m < \omega$  and  $\gamma < \omega_m$ , then there is no strongly increasing sequence of length  $\omega_m$  in  ${}^\omega\gamma$ .

### Corollary

Suppose that  $V \subseteq W$  are inner models of ZFC and  $4 \leq m < \omega$ .  
Then  $(\aleph_{\omega+1})^V \neq (\aleph_m)^W$ .

### Proof.

Otherwise, use (2) to fix in  $V$  a strongly increasing sequence  $\vec{f}$  in  $\prod_{n<\omega} \omega_n$  of length  $\aleph_{\omega+1}$ . In  $W$ ,  $\vec{f}$  is a strongly increasing sequence of length  $\omega_m$  in  ${}^\omega\gamma$ , where  $\gamma = (\aleph_\omega)^V < \omega_m$ , contradicting (3).  $\square$

## Motivating questions again

Question (Bukovský–Copláková–Hartová)

*Can there exist a pair of inner models of ZFC  $V \subseteq W$  such that  $(\aleph_{\omega+1})^V = (\aleph_2)^W$ ?*

Question

*Is the Chang's Conjecture variant  $(\aleph_{\omega+1}, \aleph_\omega) \rightarrow (\aleph_2, \aleph_1)$  consistent?*

Both questions are known to have positive answers if  $\omega_2$  is replaced by  $\omega_1$  and negative answers if it is replaced by  $\omega_m$  for  $4 \leq m < \omega$ .

## Motivating questions again

Question (Bukovský–Copláková–Hartová)

*Can there exist a pair of inner models of ZFC  $V \subseteq W$  such that  $(\aleph_{\omega+1})^V = (\aleph_2)^W$ ?*

Question

*Is the Chang's Conjecture variant  $(\aleph_{\omega+1}, \aleph_\omega) \rightarrow (\aleph_2, \aleph_1)$  consistent?*

Both questions are known to have positive answers if  $\omega_2$  is replaced by  $\omega_1$  and negative answers if it is replaced by  $\omega_m$  for  $4 \leq m < \omega$ . A positive answer to either question would entail the consistency of the existence of a strongly increasing sequence of length  $\omega_2$  in  ${}^\omega\omega_1$ .

## Motivating questions again

Question (Bukovský–Copláková–Hartová)

*Can there exist a pair of inner models of ZFC  $V \subseteq W$  such that  $(\aleph_{\omega+1})^V = (\aleph_2)^W$ ?*

Question

*Is the Chang's Conjecture variant  $(\aleph_{\omega+1}, \aleph_\omega) \rightarrow (\aleph_2, \aleph_1)$  consistent?*

Both questions are known to have positive answers if  $\omega_2$  is replaced by  $\omega_1$  and negative answers if it is replaced by  $\omega_m$  for  $4 \leq m < \omega$ . A positive answer to either question would entail the consistency of the existence of a strongly increasing sequence of length  $\omega_2$  in  ${}^\omega\omega_1$ .

Question

*Is there consistently a strongly increasing sequence of length  $\omega_2$  in  ${}^\omega\omega_1$  (or  ${}^\omega\omega$ )?*

# An answer

## An answer

### Theorem (Larson–LH)

*Suppose that  $V = L(\mathbb{R})$  and AD holds (or  $V = L(A, \mathbb{R})$  for some  $A \subseteq {}^\omega\omega$  and  $\text{AD}^+$  holds). Then there is a forcing extension satisfying “ZFC+ there exists a strongly increasing sequence of length  $\omega_2$  in  ${}^\omega\omega$ ”.*

# A sketch of the forcing

We force over  $L(\mathbb{R})$  with a variant  $\mathbb{P}$  of Woodin's  $\mathbb{P}_{\max}$  forcing.



## A sketch of the forcing

We force over  $L(\mathbb{R})$  with a variant  $\mathbb{P}$  of Woodin's  $\mathbb{P}_{\max}$  forcing.  
More precisely, conditions are all triples of the form  $(M, F, a)$  such that

## A sketch of the forcing

We force over  $L(\mathbb{R})$  with a variant  $\mathbb{P}$  of Woodin's  $\mathbb{P}_{\max}$  forcing. More precisely, conditions are all triples of the form  $(M, F, a)$  such that

- $M$  is a countable transitive model of  $ZFC + MA_{\aleph_1}$  that is iterable with respect to  $NS_{\omega_1}^M$ ;

## A sketch of the forcing

We force over  $L(\mathbb{R})$  with a variant  $\mathbb{P}$  of Woodin's  $\mathbb{P}_{\max}$  forcing. More precisely, conditions are all triples of the form  $(M, F, a)$  such that

- $M$  is a countable transitive model of  $ZFC + MA_{\aleph_1}$  that is iterable with respect to  $NS_{\omega_1}^M$ ;
- $F$  is, in  $M$ , a (very) strongly increasing sequence of functions in  ${}^\omega\omega$  whose length is a successor ordinal less than  $\omega_2^M$ ;

## A sketch of the forcing

We force over  $L(\mathbb{R})$  with a variant  $\mathbb{P}$  of Woodin's  $\mathbb{P}_{\max}$  forcing. More precisely, conditions are all triples of the form  $(M, F, a)$  such that

- $M$  is a countable transitive model of  $ZFC + MA_{\aleph_1}$  that is iterable with respect to  $NS_{\omega_1}^M$ ;
- $F$  is, in  $M$ , a (very) strongly increasing sequence of functions in  ${}^\omega\omega$  whose length is a successor ordinal less than  $\omega_2^M$ ;
- $a \in \mathcal{P}(\omega_1)^M$  is such that, for some  $x \in \mathcal{P}(\omega)^M$ , we have  $\omega_1^M = \omega_1^{L[a,x]}$ .

## A sketch of the forcing

We force over  $L(\mathbb{R})$  with a variant  $\mathbb{P}$  of Woodin's  $\mathbb{P}_{\max}$  forcing. More precisely, conditions are all triples of the form  $(M, F, a)$  such that

- $M$  is a countable transitive model of  $ZFC + MA_{\aleph_1}$  that is iterable with respect to  $NS_{\omega_1}^M$ ;
- $F$  is, in  $M$ , a (very) strongly increasing sequence of functions in  ${}^\omega\omega$  whose length is a successor ordinal less than  $\omega_2^M$ ;
- $a \in \mathcal{P}(\omega_1)^M$  is such that, for some  $x \in \mathcal{P}(\omega)^M$ , we have  $\omega_1^M = \omega_1^{L[a,x]}$ .

We set  $(N, H, b) < (M, F, a)$  if there exists an iteration  $j : (M, NS_{\omega_1}^M) \rightarrow (M^*, NS_{\omega_1}^{M^*})$  such that  $j \in N$ ,

## A sketch of the forcing

We force over  $L(\mathbb{R})$  with a variant  $\mathbb{P}$  of Woodin's  $\mathbb{P}_{\max}$  forcing. More precisely, conditions are all triples of the form  $(M, F, a)$  such that

- $M$  is a countable transitive model of  $ZFC + MA_{\aleph_1}$  that is iterable with respect to  $NS_{\omega_1}^M$ ;
- $F$  is, in  $M$ , a (very) strongly increasing sequence of functions in  ${}^\omega\omega$  whose length is a successor ordinal less than  $\omega_2^M$ ;
- $a \in \mathcal{P}(\omega_1)^M$  is such that, for some  $x \in \mathcal{P}(\omega)^M$ , we have  $\omega_1^M = \omega_1^{L[a,x]}$ .

We set  $(N, H, b) < (M, F, a)$  if there exists an iteration  $j : (M, NS_{\omega_1}^M) \rightarrow (M^*, NS_{\omega_1}^{M^*})$  such that  $j \in N$ ,  $j(a) = b$ ,

# A sketch of the forcing

We force over  $L(\mathbb{R})$  with a variant  $\mathbb{P}$  of Woodin's  $\mathbb{P}_{\max}$  forcing. More precisely, conditions are all triples of the form  $(M, F, a)$  such that

- $M$  is a countable transitive model of  $ZFC + MA_{\aleph_1}$  that is iterable with respect to  $NS_{\omega_1}^M$ ;
- $F$  is, in  $M$ , a (very) strongly increasing sequence of functions in  ${}^\omega\omega$  whose length is a successor ordinal less than  $\omega_2^M$ ;
- $a \in \mathcal{P}(\omega_1)^M$  is such that, for some  $x \in \mathcal{P}(\omega)^M$ , we have  $\omega_1^M = \omega_1^{L[a,x]}$ .

We set  $(N, H, b) < (M, F, a)$  if there exists an iteration  $j : (M, NS_{\omega_1}^M) \rightarrow (M^*, NS_{\omega_1}^{M^*})$  such that  $j \in N$ ,  $j(a) = b$ ,  $NS_{\omega_1}^{M^*} = NS_{\omega_1}^N \cap M^*$ ,

# A sketch of the forcing

We force over  $L(\mathbb{R})$  with a variant  $\mathbb{P}$  of Woodin's  $\mathbb{P}_{\max}$  forcing. More precisely, conditions are all triples of the form  $(M, F, a)$  such that

- $M$  is a countable transitive model of  $ZFC + MA_{\aleph_1}$  that is iterable with respect to  $NS_{\omega_1}^M$ ;
- $F$  is, in  $M$ , a (very) strongly increasing sequence of functions in  ${}^\omega\omega$  whose length is a successor ordinal less than  $\omega_2^M$ ;
- $a \in \mathcal{P}(\omega_1)^M$  is such that, for some  $x \in \mathcal{P}(\omega)^M$ , we have  $\omega_1^M = \omega_1^{L[a,x]}$ .

We set  $(N, H, b) < (M, F, a)$  if there exists an iteration  $j : (M, NS_{\omega_1}^M) \rightarrow (M^*, NS_{\omega_1}^{M^*})$  such that  $j \in N$ ,  $j(a) = b$ ,  $NS_{\omega_1}^{M^*} = NS_{\omega_1}^N \cap M^*$ , and  $j(F)$  is an initial segment of  $H$ .



AD (or  $AD^+$ ) implies the following facts about  $\mathbb{P}$ .

AD (or  $AD^+$ ) implies the following facts about  $\mathbb{P}$ .

- For each  $x \in H(\omega_1)$ , there are densely many conditions  $(M, F, a) \in \mathbb{P}$  such that  $x \in H(\omega_1)^M$ .

AD (or  $AD^+$ ) implies the following facts about  $\mathbb{P}$ .

- For each  $x \in H(\omega_1)$ , there are densely many conditions  $(M, F, a) \in \mathbb{P}$  such that  $x \in H(\omega_1)^M$ .
- $\mathbb{P}$  is countably closed.

AD (or  $AD^+$ ) implies the following facts about  $\mathbb{P}$ .

- For each  $x \in H(\omega_1)$ , there are densely many conditions  $(M, F, a) \in \mathbb{P}$  such that  $x \in H(\omega_1)^M$ .
- $\mathbb{P}$  is countably closed.
- Let  $G$  be  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic over  $V$ . Then

AD (or  $AD^+$ ) implies the following facts about  $\mathbb{P}$ .

- For each  $x \in H(\omega_1)$ , there are densely many conditions  $(M, F, a) \in \mathbb{P}$  such that  $x \in H(\omega_1)^M$ .
- $\mathbb{P}$  is countably closed.
- Let  $G$  be  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic over  $V$ . Then
  - $V[G] \models ZFC + 2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_2$ .

AD (or  $AD^+$ ) implies the following facts about  $\mathbb{P}$ .

- For each  $x \in H(\omega_1)$ , there are densely many conditions  $(M, F, a) \in \mathbb{P}$  such that  $x \in H(\omega_1)^M$ .
- $\mathbb{P}$  is countably closed.
- Let  $G$  be  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic over  $V$ . Then
  - $V[G] \models ZFC + 2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_2$ .
  - Let  $A_G = \bigcup \{a \mid (M, F, a) \in G\}$ . Then for each  $p = (M, F, a) \in G$ , there is a unique iteration  $j_{p,G}$  of  $(M, NS_{\omega_1}^M)$  sending  $a$  to  $A_G$ .

AD (or  $AD^+$ ) implies the following facts about  $\mathbb{P}$ .

- For each  $x \in H(\omega_1)$ , there are densely many conditions  $(M, F, a) \in \mathbb{P}$  such that  $x \in H(\omega_1)^M$ .
- $\mathbb{P}$  is countably closed.
- Let  $G$  be  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic over  $V$ . Then
  - $V[G] \models ZFC + 2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_2$ .
  - Let  $A_G = \bigcup \{a \mid (M, F, a) \in G\}$ . Then for each  $p = (M, F, a) \in G$ , there is a unique iteration  $j_{p,G}$  of  $(M, NS_{\omega_1}^M)$  sending  $a$  to  $A_G$ .
  - $\bigcup \{j_{p,G}(F) \mid p = (M, F, a) \in G\}$  is a (very) strongly increasing sequence in  ${}^\omega\omega$  of length  $\omega_2$ .

## More questions

### Question

*Does the existence of a strongly increasing sequence in  ${}^{\omega}\omega$  of length  $\omega_2$  have any large cardinal strength?*



## More questions

### Question

*Does the existence of a strongly increasing sequence in  ${}^\omega\omega$  of length  $\omega_2$  have any large cardinal strength?*

### Question

*Is there consistently a strongly increasing sequence in  ${}^\omega\omega$  of length  $\omega_3$ ?*

**Thank you!**

